Interpreting Target 2 of the Global Biodiversity Framework, and implications for Australia's national restoration targets

James Fitzsimons and Justine Bell-James





















GBF HOME INTRODUCTORY SECTIONS OF THE GBF 2050 VISION AND 2030 MISSION 2050 GOALS 2030 TARGETS (WITH GUIDANCE NOTES) IMPLEMENTATION AND SUPPORT MECHANISMS RESPONSIBILITY AND TRANSPARENCY COMMUNICATION, EDUCATION, AWARENESS AND UPTAKE RELATED DECISIONS BRANDING TOOLKIT



BIODIVERSITY CONVENTION CARTAGENA PROTOCOL

THE BIODIVERSITY PLAN For Life on Earth

GBF HOME // TARGET 2

Target 2

Restore 30% of all Degraded Ecosystems



STRATEGIC PLAN 2011-2020 // AICHI-TARGETS

Aichi Biodiversity Targets



Target 14

By 2020, ecosystems that provide essential services, including services related to water, and contribute to health, livelihoods and well-being, are restored and safeguarded, taking into account the needs of women, indigenous and local communities, and the poor and vulnerable.



Target 15

By 2020, ecosystem resilience and the contribution of biodiversity to carbon stocks has been enhanced, through conservation and restoration, including restoration of at least 15 per cent of degraded ecosystems, thereby contributing to climate change mitigation and adaptation and to combating desertification.

nature ecology & evolution

Correspondence

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-024-02389-6

The Global Biodiversity Framework's ecosystem restoration target requires more clarity and careful legal interpretation

Ith the passage of the oneyear anniversary of the Kunming-Montreal Global Biodiversity Framework (GBF), substantial effort is still needed to progress Target 2 – the 'restoration target'. The restoration target guides parties to "ensure that by 2030 at least 30 per cent of areas of degraded terrestrial, inland water, and marine and coastal ecosystems are under effective restoration, in order to enhance biodiversity and ecosystem functions and services, ecological integrity and connectivity"¹. This target is a critical step towards upscaling

The Intention of the GBF should be clarified through the Conference of the Partles (COP) process.

The term 'degraded' (Supplementary Table 1) contemplates loss or decline, but it is unclear to what extent ecosystem functions or services must be reduced to be considered degraded. Classifying areas as degraded necessarily involves a comparison to an earlier, less degraded state, and the appropriate baseline to use in restoration is the subject of intense debate⁶. A global standard for the term degraded can ensure consistency and should be considered at a future COP. Without it Effectiveness is also hard to define given the Increasing influence of climate change on ecosystems and ecological processes and tendency towards 'shifting baselines'⁵. Past ecosystem states and conditions will become less and less feasible to achieve through restoration, and restoration programmes will require innovative approaches and a clear strategy to adapt to climate change in order to succeed. Finally, the baseline chosen and the definition of 'effective' restoration at a national scale will markedly influence reports of 'success'. This could be aided by articulation and communication of base-

Check for updates



Priority degraded areas are under effective restoration by 2030

"Priority degraded areas (across terrestrial, inland water, coastal and marine ecosystems) are under effective restoration by 2030 to recover biodiversity and improve ecosystem functions and services, ecological integrity and connectivity.

This national target aligns with GBF Target 2."

nature ecology & evolution

Correspondence

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-024-02389-6

The Global Biodiversity Framework's ecosystem restoration target requires more clarity and careful legal interpretation

Ith the passage of the oneyear anniversary of the Kunming-Montreal Global Biodiversity Framework (GBF), substantial effort is still needed to progress Target 2 – the 'restoration target'. The restoration target guides parties to "ensure that by 2030 at least 30 per cent of areas of degraded terrestrial, inland water, and marine and coastal ecosystems are under effective restoration, in order to enhance blodiversity and ecosystem functions and services, ecological integrity and connectivity"¹. This target is a critical step towards upscaling

The Intention of the GBF should be clarified through the Conference of the Partles (COP) process.

The term 'degraded' (Supplementary Table 1) contemplates loss or decline, but it is unclear to what extent ecosystem functions or services must be reduced to be considered degraded. Classifying areas as degraded necessarily involves a comparison to an earlier, less degraded state, and the appropriate baseline to use in restoration is the subject of intense debate⁶. A global standard for the term degraded can ensure consistency and should be considered at a future COP. Without it Check for updates

Effectiveness is also hard to define given the Increasing influence of climate change on ecosystems and ecological processes and tendency towards 'shifting baselines'⁸. Past ecosystem states and conditions will become less and less feasible to achieve through restoration, and restoration programmes will require innovative approaches and a clear strategy to adapt to climate change in order to succeed. Finally, the baseline chosen and the definition of 'effective' restoration at a national scale will markedly influence reports of 'success'. This could be aided by articulation and communication of base-

Email: jfitzsimons@tnc.org